

Pensions Accounting Update

As at 31 December 2022

What's Inside?

	Page
Overview	3
Executive Summary	4
Market Summary	5
Market Movements in Detail	6
Recent Developments	9
Next Steps	11



Overview

This guide is intended to be a useful reference for companies preparing their 31 December 2022 pensions accounting disclosures, whether under FRS 102 or IAS 19.

In this guide, we will review the changes in the investment markets over the last 12 months and consider the impact these will have had on a typical pension scheme. We will also review recent developments in the area of pensions accounting, highlighting issues that you should be aware of.



Executive Summary

Corporate bond yields have increased by around 2.90% p.a. over the year to 31 December 2022. As accounting discount rates are directly related to corporate bond yields, employers can expect higher bond yields to have a positive effect on pension scheme liabilities (i.e. a reduction in liabilities), all else being equal.

Over the year, expectations of future inflation have decreased. Decreases in inflation will decrease inflation-linked liabilities, all other things being equal.

There has been a significant downturn in investment markets over the year, with UK Government and Corporate bonds performing especially poorly, posting annual returns of -40% and -34% respectively.

As there have been offsetting effects over the last year, each individual scheme will experience different effects on their funding level, depending on the scheme benefits and investment strategy.

How might this affect a typical pension scheme?

Chart 1 below, captured from [Mantle](#), Spence’s award-winning integrated administration and actuarial system, illustrates the effect of market movements over the past 12 months on the balance sheet position of an example pension scheme “EPS” on an accounting basis.




Chart 1 - Daily Movements in EPS funding level

At the beginning of the year EPS's funding level improved steadily. A temporary drop in the funding level was seen in mid-February when the conflict in Ukraine began. The funding level then continued to improve as interest rates increased. The funding level over September and October was particularly volatile, due to rapid changes in bond yields following the ex-Chancellor’s mini budget. Market conditions stabilised again at the end of October and the general trend of improvement in funding position over time continued.

EPS holds no Liability Driven Investment and has limited interest rate hedging through corporate bond holdings. It also has dampened equity exposure via a Diversified Growth Fund.

Market Summary




Chart 2 - Return on Major Asset Classes Source: Morningstar Benchmarks:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. FTSE All-Share TR Index 2. FTSE UK All World TR GBP 3. FTSE USA TR Index GBP 4. FTSE AW Europe ex UK TR Index GBP 5. FTSE Japan Index TR GBP 6. FTSE AW AP Ex Japan TR Index GBP 7. Morningstar MSCI Emerging Markets NR GBP | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8. UK FTSE Actuaries Over 15 Years Gilt Price Index 9. Markit iBoxx £ Non-Gilts Over 15 Year Index 10. Bank of America Merrill Lynch Global High Yield & EM TR GBP 11. IS UK Property GBP 12. S&P GCSI Commodity TR Index GBP 13. LIBOR 1 Month GBP TR |
|---|---|

Market Movements in Detail

The key financial assumptions affecting a scheme's balance sheet position are the discount rate and the future rate of inflation.

Discount Rate

FRS 102 and IAS 19 require the discount rate to be based on yields of high quality (usually taken to mean 'AA-rated') corporate bonds, taking into account the term of the relevant pension scheme's liabilities.

The precise discount rate chosen will depend on a number of factors, including the duration of the scheme liabilities. For illustrative purposes, we show below how the yield has varied over the past 12 months on a suitable long-dated corporate bond index, the iBoxx over 15-year AA rated corporate bond index.




Chart 3 - Yield on iBoxx £ Corporates AA 15+

We can see that yields have increased over the last year predominantly as a result of the Bank of England (BoE) further increasing base rates. The instability in the market in September is also reflected in yields. Following the instability, a small decrease in the yields were seen in November. By December the yields had begun to increase once again. The overall result of an increase in the yields will result in a higher discount rate and so lower liabilities, all other things being equal.

The duration of the iBoxx £ Corporates AA over 15-year index has decreased over the year from around 21 years as at 31 December 2021, to around 15 years as at 31 December 2022. As a result, schemes with durations greater than 15 will have more scope to make positive adjustments to the discount rate to reflect longer durations.

Inflation

The inflation assumption is important as this is generally used to determine future benefit increases, both before and after retirement. Again, there are a range of appropriate values that this assumption can take depending on each scheme’s circumstances. Chart 4 shows the Bank of England implied future inflation curve.




Chart 4 – BoE implied inflation spot curve

Inflation expectations have decreased over the last year. This is a result of further increases in the BoE base rate and also, in part, is reflective of the impact of energy price caps.

There may be other considerations to take into account when determining inflation assumptions, such as whether to adjust for a possible inflation risk premium (“IRP”) that may be implicit in the Bank of England’s implied inflation rates. This adjustment is typically used to reflect the supply and demand dynamics of inflation linked gilts, and adjustments in the region of 0.30% p.a. are typically seen within accounting assumptions.

Consideration should also be given to the fact that RPI will be moving into line with CPIH from 2030. Historically, the difference between RPI and CPI has typically ranged from 0.70% p.a. to 1.10% p.a. In November 2020, the UK Government published the outcome of its consultation on the intention to align RPI with CPIH, a variant of CPI that includes an estimate of housing costs. As CPIH is currently lower than RPI, RPI is expected to be lower from 2030 and it may be appropriate to adjust the RPI assumption to reflect this.

We are seeing a staged approach in many cases where a fixed gap is applied until 2030 (for example 1.00% p.a.), and then a smaller gap is adopted from 2030 onwards. The gap from 2030 may also reflect the differences between CPIH and CPI. For simplicity, this assumption is sometimes converted into a single gap at all terms, that will produce liabilities that are broadly equivalent to using a different gap pre and post 2030. The size of this single adjustment will typically be larger for schemes with short durations (who are more exposed to ‘pre 2030’ rates) and smaller for schemes with long durations. The nature of the benefits provided by the particular scheme also plays an important role here.

Market Effect on 'EPS' Liabilities

The main factors behind the movement in EPS liabilities over the 12-month period to 31 December 2022 are set out below.

Table 1 - Breakdown of Market Effect on EPS Liabilities

EPS Assumption	Effect of Market Movements	Change in Liabilities ¹
Discount Rate	+ 2.88% p.a.	- 42.72%
Inflation Assumption(s)	- 0.20% p.a.	- 1.87% ²
TOTAL³		-44.60%

1. Assumes EPS liabilities have average duration of 20 years. No allowance for cashflows has been made.
2. Assumes the effect on liabilities of the change in inflation is 50% of the effect of the equivalent discount rate change.
3. Note approximate nature. The above illustrates the approximate effect of changes to these assumptions only.

The balance sheet impact will depend on the asset classes held and the performance of the scheme investments.



Recent Developments

Market Conditions

On 23rd September, the ex-Chancellor released his mini budget. The Government's plans required a large increase in borrowing. This borrowing along with reduced market confidence led to a fall in the value of government bonds and a rise in yields.

As a result, liability-driven investment (LLDI) markets have seen an increase in pressure. In order to maintain hedging levels within these funds cash was urgently needed. On 28th September, the bank of England stepped in with a £65bn bailout in order to prevent additional price falls and stabilise the market. This gave time to raise cash to meet these collateral needs.

Ongoing Russia/Ukraine Conflict

The ongoing Russia/Ukraine conflict has caused significant uncertainty for pension schemes and their sponsors, and the future consequences are still unclear. In addition to an increasingly challenging trading environment for many employers, the economic volatility arising from the conflict is likely to have a material impact on many pension schemes due to:

- Falls in the valuations of many asset classes due to a decline in the equity and credit markets
- Rising inflation expectations, which will place a higher value on pension scheme liabilities

The precise impact on a given scheme will depend on their individual circumstances, in particular their investment strategy and level of hedging. As mentioned previously in this note, increasing corporate bond yields are likely to reduce accounting liabilities which for many schemes will offset the negative impacts above for accounting disclosures. There is the scope for significant volatility to remain as the conflict endures, and pension schemes will need to continue to weather the storm of uncertainty.

FRC report sets out what it expects from audit firms to deliver high quality audits

In January 2022 the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) issued a report setting out the key elements required by audit firms to ensure they are delivering high quality audits.

The FRC's report highlights the six key attributes that contribute to the running of high-quality audit practices such as the culture, governance and leadership of the firms, alongside their investment in well qualified people, training and processes. It also includes the key elements that contribute to high quality individual audits from the planning phase, through to the delivery and completion of audits.

To support the delivery of high-quality audits, the report provides a range of examples of good practice identified by the FRC over recent audit quality inspections and supervision work.

Consultation on Changes to FRS 102

In December the financial reporting council issued FRED 82 which proposes a number of changes to FRS 102 and other accounting standards following the second review of the standards. These updates include small clarifications and improvements as well as a new model for revenue recognition in FRS 102 and 105 and a new model for lease accounting in FRS 102. The proposed effective date of the amendments set out in the FRED is 1 January 2025. Comments on the suggested changes are requested by 30 April 2023.

ARGA

The government has announced plans to replace the FRC with ARGA (Audit, Reporting and Governance Authority), a new statutory regulator. At the end of July, the Financial Reporting council launched a consultation on its draft proposals on how the new regulator should be funded. ARGA will be funded through a mandatory levy on industry. Their new powers will include directing companies to restate their accounts without going to court.

Next Steps

With the wealth of corporate advisory experience available at Spence, we are well placed to provide you with guidance on how to best manage your pension scheme liabilities.

The implications of the recent developments should be considered to help you avoid any surprises. Spence can help guide companies through these complexities. We have a proven track record in navigating to the best outcomes for our clients.

We would be happy to discuss the options available to you in reaction to the market trends discussed above, including how to:

- Lock in asset gains.
- Decrease future risk.
- Reduce funding level volatility.

To discuss these topics further, please contact Spence through your usual contact or connect with our Corporate Advisory practice associate, Rachel Graham, at rachel_graham@spenceandpartners.co.uk or by telephone on 028 9041 2006.

NOTES

(c) Morningstar 2022. All rights reserved. Use of this content requires expert knowledge. It is to be used by specialist institutions only. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied, adapted or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information, except where such damages or losses cannot be limited or excluded by law in your jurisdiction. Past financial performance is no guarantee of future results.

SPENCE

Belfast

Linen Loft
27-37 Adelaide Street
Belfast BT2 8FE

T: +44 (0)28 9041 2000

Bristol

Castlemead
Lower Castle Street
Bristol BS1 3AG

T: +44 (0)117 959 5002

Glasgow

The Culzean Building
36 Renfield Street
Glasgow G2 1LU

T: +44 (0)141 331 1004

London

46 New Broad Street
London
EC2M 1JH

T: +44 (0)20 7495 5505

Manchester

St James Tower, 12th
Floor
7 Charlotte Street
Manchester M1 4DZ

T: +44 (0)161 641 6312

Birmingham

Edmund House
12-22 Newhall Street
Birmingham B3 3AS

T: +44 (0)121 389 2314

Leeds

Princes Exchange Princes
Square, Leeds
West Yorkshire LS1 4HY

T: +44 (0)113 426 4487

spenceandpartners.co.uk